

### REMARKS

The Office Action mailed May 16, 2008, (hereinafter "Office Action") rejected pending Claims 1, 3, 7-12, 27-29, and 32-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Office Action indicated that Claims 7-12 and 32-35 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowability.

To expedite prosecution of the application, applicant has amended Claims 7-12 and 32-35 to eliminate or amend the language giving rise to the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections. Claims 1, 3, 27-29, and 36 have been canceled.

Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 7-12 and 32-35 overcome the rejections set forth in the Office Action. Further, applicant submits that amended Claims 7-12 and 32-35 do not introduce any new matter.

Reconsideration of the application and allowance of the claims at an early date are solicited. If the Examiner has any questions or comments concerning this matter, applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR  
JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>



Margie B. Aoki  
Registration No. 62,644  
Direct Dial No. 206.695.1608

MBA:mba

LAW OFFICES OF  
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>  
1420 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 2800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
206.682.8100